

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,201	11/27/2000	George Friedman	1235-00	7397
35811	7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	TMENT OF PIPER RU	JACKSON, JENISE E		
ONE LIBER 1650 MARK	TTY PLACE, SUITE 4900 EET ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	PHIA, PA 19103		2131	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

					/U
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/701	,201	FRIEDMAN ET AL.	
Office A	Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
			E Jackson	2131	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED S' THE MAILING DA' - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TE OF THIS COMMUN be available under the provisions rom the mailing date of this come ecified above is less than thirty (s specified above, the maximum s e set or extended period for rebly	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 30) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	plication is in condition	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. pt for formal matters, pro Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49		erits is
Disposition of Claims	5				
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9-1</u>	2 is/are pending in the ove claim(s) is/a is/a is/are allowed16-23,31 and 32 is/are 5 and 24-30 is/are obje are subject to restri	re withdrawn from o rejected. cted to.			
Application Papers					
10) The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	not request that any objective drawing sheet(s) including	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(sg the correction is req	b) objected to by the b) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
a) All b)	Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority ed copies of the priority s of the certified copies ation from the Internation	documents have by documents have by of the priority document Bureau (PCT F	een received in Applicat ments have been receive	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
	n's Patent Drawing Review (e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:		52)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/701,201

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 16-23, 31-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cabrera(5,978, 815).
- 3. As per claims 1, 31-32, Cabrera et al. discloses a method for providing data security in a first device driver operably installed in a computer operating system having a layered plurality of device drivers for accessing data in a data storage device(see col. 3, lines 15-23, 52-56, col. 7, lines 33-43), detecting an I/O request to said first device driver(see col. 7, lines 33-42, col. 16, lines 12-53); determining whether said first device driver is functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers(see col. 16, lines 12-22); if said first device driver is functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers, performing the I/O request in said first device driver (see col. 7, lines 33-63); and if said first device driver is not functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers, denying the I/O request in said first device driver, and allowing the I/O request to be performed by a next lower-level device driver in the layered plurality of device drivers(see col. 7, lines 33-63).
- 4. As per claim 2, Cabrera et al. discloses wherein said first device driver is a file system monitor(see col. 4, lines 21-65).
- 5. As per claim 3, Cabrera et al. discloses wherein the data is stored in a secure virtual

Application/Control Number: 09/701,201

Art Unit: 2131

file system, and wherein the step of performing the I/0 request includes the step of implementing data security measures (see col. 20, lines 12-27).

- 6. As per claim 4, Cabrera et al. discloses wherein the data is stored in encrypted form, and wherein the step of performing the I/O request further comprises the step of decrypting the data(see col. 25, lines 30-51, col. 26, lines 7-25).
- 7. As per claim 5, Cabrera et al. discloses wherein the step of performing the I/0 request further comprises the step of checking the data for viruses(see col. 9, lines 9-51).
- 8. As per claim 6, Cabrera et al. discloses wherein the step of determining whether said first device driver is functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers further comprises the steps of determining whether said first device driver has been previously called; if said first device driver has not been previously called(see col. 3, lines 52-67, col. 4, lines 1-20), detecting an initial calling module address, storing said initial calling module address, and concluding that said first device driver is functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers(see col. 6, lines 53-67, col. 7, lines 1-4, 33-42); if said first device driver has been previously called, detecting a second calling module address, comparing said second calling module address to the initial calling module address, and concluding that said first device driver is functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers only if the initial calling module address matches the second calling module address(see col. 17, lines 10-67).
- 9. As per claim 7, Cabrera discloses wherein the step of denying the I/O request in the secure first device driver comprises the steps of is setting a first device driver shutdown flag; and initiating a re-hook process(see col. 6, lines 53-67, col. 7, lines 1-4).
- 10. As per claim 8, Cabrera discloses after the step of detecting an 1/O request to said

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/701,201

Art Unit: 2131

first device driver, the checking whether a first device driver shutdown flag is set; and if said first device driver shutdown flag is set, omitting further steps in said first device driver, and allowing the 1/O request to be performed by a next lower-level device driver in the layered plurality of device drivers (see col. 7, lines 5-42).

- 11. As per claim 16, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 1.
- 12. As per claim 17, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 2.
- 13. As per claim 18, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 3.
- 14. As per claim 19, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 4.
- 15. As per claim 20, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 5.
- 16. As per claim 21, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 6.
- 17. As per claim 22, Cabrera discloses a first device driver shutdown flag and a re-hook system, wherein said first device driver denies the I/O request by setting a first device driver shutdown flag and calling the re-hook system(see col. 6, lines 53-67, col. 7, lines 1-4).
- 18. As per claim 23, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 8.
- 19. Claims 9-15, 24-30 are objected to as being rejected on base claims, these claims are allowable for wherein the step of initiating a re-hook process includes the steps of counting the number of times the re-hook process has been initiated checking whether the number of times has reached a predetermined maximum threshold; if the number of times has reached a predetermined maximum threshold, initiating a programmable security response; if the number of times has not reached a predetermined maximum threshold, initiating reattachment of said first device driver functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device drivers; if said first device driver has been reattached functionally uppermost in the layered plurality of device

Application/Control Number: 09/701,201

Art Unit: 2131

drivers, unsetting said first device driver shutdown flag; and concluding the re-hook process. An example of prior art that does not disclose this is Jones, Jones discloses a plurality of driver layers, that when a host request is received, the first layer device driver executing on the controller determines if the request is atomic.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Shiekh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 9, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100